



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,494	03/31/2004	Jose A. Medina	K35R1861	8515	
35219 75	07/26/2006	07/26/2006		EXAMINER	
WESTERN DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			RENNER,	RENNER, CRAIG A	
ATTN: SANDRA GENUA 20511 LAKE FOREST DR.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
E-118G			2627	2627	
LAKE FORES	Γ, CA 92630	DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers		10/815,494	MEDINA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Craig A. Renner	2627			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DOMESTATION OF THE MAILING DOMESTICS OF THE MAILING T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status			•			
_	Pagnancina to communication(s) filed as 07/4	0/2004 8 02/46/2005				
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07/19/2004 & 02/16/2005</u> . This action is FINAL					
3)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
<u>ا</u> رد	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Diai4	·	Expante Quayle, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	JO 0.0. 210.			
` _	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.					
c \□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[_]						
7)∐ 9\⊠	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or	ologian requirement				
لكاره	Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
**	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
	re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/815,494 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, drawn to a "method for plating CoNiFe", classified in class 427, subclass 128.
- Claims 11-16, drawn to a "magnetic recording head", classified in class
 360, subclass 126.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of groups I and II are related as process of making and product made, respectively. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:

 (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, such as, a magnetic reproducing head as opposed to a "magnetic recording head", for instance.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Janyce Mitchell on 22 July 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig A. Renner whose telephone number is (571) 272-7580. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday & Thursday-Friday 9:00 AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Craid A. Renner Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2627

CAR